Multidimensional dynamics in core collapse supernovae



Jérôme Guilet (IRFU/DAp)



Cassiopeia A



Crab



Core collapse supernovae

Core collapse supernovae: type II, Ib & Ic

Not discussed here: SN Ia (thermonuclear)

Electromagnetic waves are emitted days after the explosion:

-> the central engine is difficult to constrain

Gravitational waves and neutrinos (would) give a view of the instant of explosion



SN1987A: last (almost) galactic SN (LMC) 25 neutrinos detected

Observational evidence for asymmetry

Morphology of supernova remnants

Neutron star kicks: several 100 km/s => accelerated at birth

Polarisation of SN light: inner ejecta are asymmetric



Grefenstette et al 2014

Observation of Cas A

Core collapse: formation of a neutron star



Neutrino-driven mechanism: a multi-physics problem

- Multi-dimensional hydrodynamics (instabilities, turbulence..)
- General relativity
- Neutrino-matter interactions sophisticated transport schemes
- Ultra-high density equation of state
- Magnetic field



Critical neutrino luminosity



Criterion for explosion as a fonction of progenitor structure (Ertl et al 2015) Two parameters : $M_4 \equiv m(s = 4)$

$$\mu_4 \equiv \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}r} \right|_{s=4}$$

Sophisticated 3D simulations are necessary



Explosion in 2D and 3D simulations ? No consensus yet..

Oak ridge & japanese groups : explosions in 2D and 3D Garching group : explosions in 2D, only for low mass in 3D with standard physics Princeton group : first 3D explosion last month

Hydrodynamic instabilities

Protoneutron star

convection Shock Instability (SASI) Time after bounce: 0.304 [s] 40 **Buoyant Plumes** 1.2 WOO 0.325 entropy [k_B/nuc] 15 = 0.344 sec 30 [10⁷ cm] 1.0 0.300 0.8 10 20 0.275 0.6 0.4 × 0.250 10 5 0.2 y [km] 0.225 Ye 1.4 WOO entropy [kg/nuc] 0.200 15 -10 $t = 0.354 \, \text{sec}$ [10⁷ cm] 0.175 1.0 -20 0.8 10 0.150 0.6 -30 3D × 0.4 0.125 100 km 5 0.2 -40 0.100 -40 -30-20 -100 10 20 30 40 Murphy et al 2013 -1.0-0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 -1.5x [km] z [10⁷ cm] Scheck et al 2008

Neutrino-driven convection

Global asymmetry of the explosion

Standing Accretion

Proto-neutron star convection



Roberts+2012

Consequence: faster cooling of the protoneutron star

Neutrino-driven convection: heating vs advection



Linear instability for chi > 3

For chi < 3, convection can be non-linearly excited but not self-sustained Kazeroni+2018

Neutrino-driven convection: heating vs advection



Convection helps explosion:

- Turbulence pressure pushes shock
- Increases heating efficiency

The Standing Accretion Shock Instability (SASI)



Advective-acoustic cycle favored by a WKB analysis (Foglizzo+2007, Guilet+12) & frequencies of unstable modes (Guilet+12)

SASI in models with different degree of realism



Complex comprehensive simulations (Marek & Janka 09, Burrows et al. 06, Wongwathanarat 10, Suwa et al. 10, Müller et al. 12, Kuroda et al. 12, Sumiyoshi & Yamada 12)



progenitor structure + nuclear EOS + neutrino "transport" & interactions + "GR" + "multi-D" hydro (no magnetic field)

Multi-D hydro processes only Blondin & Mezzacappa 07 Fernandez+2010 Kazeroni+2016,2017



stationary accretion, ideal gas, 3D adiabatic

SWASI experiment Foglizzo et al. 12



- 2D shallow water inviscid

simplicity & understanding

SWASI : Shallow Water Analogue of a Shock Instability



SWASI : Shallow Water Analogue of a Shock Instability



Angular momentum redistribution & neutron star spins



Consequences for the spin of neutron stars

Approximate expression for the neutron star period Guilet+14

$$P \simeq 290 I_{45} \left(\frac{10}{\kappa}\right) \left(\frac{P_{sasi}}{50 \text{ ms}}\right) \left(\frac{120 \text{ km}}{r_{sh} - r_*}\right) \left(\frac{v_{sh}}{3000 \text{ km.s}^{-1}}\right)$$
$$\left(\frac{0.3 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ s}^{-1}}{\dot{M}}\right) \left(\frac{150 \text{ km}}{r_{sh}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{r_{sh}}{3\Delta r}\right)^2 \text{ ms}$$

=> SASI has the potential to explain the rotation of most (but not all) neutron stars



Fast rotators: corotation instability



Explosion morphology revealed by nucleosynthesis



Grefenstette et al 2014

Numerical simulation



Wongwathanarat et al 2016, 2018

Titanium nucleosynthesis is a tracer of explosion asymetry

sensitive to electron fraction Ye

Neutrino signatures



Gravitational wave signature



Outstanding explosions: magnetorotational explosions?

Explosion kinetic energy :

- \rightarrow Typical supernova 10⁵¹ erg
- → Rare hypernova & GRB 10^{52} erg aka type Ic BL

Total luminosity :

- \rightarrow Typical supernova 10⁴⁹ erg
- \rightarrow Superluminous supernovae 10⁵¹ erg

Light curves can be fitted by millisecond magnetar

- strong dipole magnetic field: B ~ 10^{14} - 10^{15} G
- fast rotation: P ~ 1-10 ms

e.g. Kasen+10, Dessart+12, Nicholl+13, Inserra+13

 \rightarrow Neutrino driven explosions ?

\rightarrow Magnetorotational explosion ?

e.g. Burrows+07, Takiwaki+09,11 Bucciantini+09, Metzger+11, Obergaulinger+17



Magnetars: the most intense known magnetic fields



Which supernovae are associated to magnetar birth?

A magnetar formed in NS mergers ?



3 possibilities :

- direct collapse to a black hole
- hypermassive NS stabilized by rotation : delayed collapse
- stable neutron star

Formation of a magnetar ?

Signature in future joint gravitational wave – electromagnetic obervations ?



GRBs: Extended emission and X-ray plateaus from magnetars ?



Zhang+2001, Fan&Xu2006, Metzger+2008, Rowlinson+2010, 2013, Gompertz+2013,2014, Lu+2015, Gao+2016

Impact of a strong magnetic field on the explosion

Strong magnetic field: $B \sim 10^{15} G$

+ fast rotation (period of few milliseconds)

=> powerful jet-driven explosions !

e.g. Sibata+06, Burrows+07, Dessart+08, Takiwaki+09,11, Winteler+12, Obergaulinger+17

But in 3D, jets may be unstable to kink instability Moesta+2014

Caveat: origin of the magnetic field is not explained





Compression of stellar field in core collapse supernovae: <10¹²-10¹³ G (?)

Magnetic field of NS before merger: 10⁸-10¹² G

Magnetar: 10¹⁵ G

Amplification mechanism ?

Magnetorotational instability Similar to accretion disks Convective dynamo

Similar to planetary & stellar dynamos

The magnetorotational instability (MRI)

In ideal MHD (i.e. no resistivity or viscosity) :

Condition for MRI growth $\ \ \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}r} < 0$

Growth rate :
$$\sigma=rac{q}{2}\Omega$$

with $\Omega \propto r^{-q}$

 \rightarrow Fast growth for fast rotation

Wavelength :
$$\lambda \propto rac{B}{\sqrt{
ho\Omega}}$$

 \rightarrow Short wavelength for weak magnetic field



Proto-neutron stars vs disks conditions

MRI unstable differential rotation at radii > 10 km

Akiyama+2003, Obergaulinger+2009

Impact of conditions specific to neutron stars ?

- \rightarrow neutrinos
- \rightarrow buoyancy (entropy & composition gradients)
- \rightarrow spherical geometry



1000

10

0 (red s⁻¹)

Jérôme Guilet (CEA Saclay) – Core collapse supernovae

Ott+06

100

Rotation profile

10

Impact of neutrinos on the MRI: growth rate



Guilet et al (2015), Guilet et al (2017)

Comparing supernovae & neutron star mergers



=> Very similar physical conditions in NS mergers and supernovae

Guilet+2015, 2017

Numerical simulations: local models



Obergaulinger+2009, Masada+2012, Guilet+2015, Rembiasz+2015,2016

Jérôme Guilet (CEA Saclay) – Core collapse supernovae

 $B = 2 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{G}$

 $\Omega = 2 \times 10^3 \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$

 $\nu = 2 \times 10^{10} \, \mathrm{cm}^2 \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$

Channel mode termination by parasitic instabilities



Impact of stratification on the MRI



unstable buoyancy

stable stratification

Dependence on diffusion processes



Behaviour at realistic values: very large magnetic Prandtl number Pm?

Global model of MRI: geometry of the magnetic field ?

Simplest model of MRI in spherical geometry : incompressible, differential rotation profile forced at outer boundary



Global models: strength of dipole magnetic field



Equatorial dipole as strong as a magnetar ③

Jérôme Guilet (CEA Saclay) – Core collapse supernovae

First simulations of a convective dynamo in proto-neutron stars

Physics included:

- Realistic equation of state & proto-neutron star structure
- Anelastic approximation
- Only the convective zone





Is a regime with strong dipolar magnetic field possible ?

Magnetorotational explosions



Physics included:

- Fully compressible MHD
- Special relativity
- Neutrino transport
- Realistic equation of state
- 2D

B field amplification not described:-> test the influence of initial B field geometry & intensity

Matteo Bugli, collaboration with Martin Obergaulinger (Valencia)

Conclusions

Very rich and complex multidimensional fluid dynamics:

- PNS convection + dynamo
- Neutrino-driven convection
- Standing Accretion Shock Instability (SASI)
- Corotation instability (low-T/W)
- Magnetorotational instability (MRI)

Big impact on core collapse supernovae

- Success of explosion
- Asymmetry: neutron star kick and spin, SNR morphology
- Neutrino & gravitational wave signatures
- Magnetic fields & extreme supernovae

Multi-messenger observations will be essential to constrain all this physics

Thank you !